SECRET

OGC 66-0908

18 April 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Heine v. Raus

l. Today, and I met with and is an Agency employee, who during the course of his contacts with Raus, identified Heine as a KOB agent.

2. The purpose of the meeting was to have relate for us as best he could the exact wording he used and the circumstances under which the information was conveyed. The session lasted almost two hours, most of which was taken up by recalling the Haaman case and undertaking to relate it to Heine's story. As regards the principal items of interest, the information may be summarized as follows:

April of 1963, the last contact, December 1964.

b.) Prior to the time Raus made his first statement (November, 1963) there had been several meetings during the course of which the Haaman case was reviewed in terms of Heine's contemplated trip to the United States and his possible motives for it. ______ recalls that Raus sought the Agency's views of Heine's. bona fides.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2003 2006

OLUNE

SROEP : Excluded floor cultimative Exmagneting and declassification does not recall the specifics of any particular meeting at which time he identified Heine nor does he recall the specific statement he made. He does admit however that in describing the Agency's position, he did use words to the effect that "Heine was a dispatched Soviet intelligence agent, a collaborator of the KGB"; and that he told Raus to pass this information on to his contacts within the Estonian emigre group.

: 181

Assistant General Counsel

cei